

Recitations 13

[Definitions used today]

• Incentive Compatibility, State dependent allocations, Truthtelling outcome, Lying outcome

Question 1 [HW 4]

Suppose $t \in \{0, ..., T\}$. At each date t, nature flips a coin. With 50% probability, agent 1 has an endowment of 2 bananas and agent 2 has an endowment of zero bananas, and with 50% probability, agent 1 has an endowment of 0 bananas and agent 2 has an endowment of 2 bananas. There is no production and all endowments are observable. Let s_t be the joint endowment realization at date t, and $s^t = \{s_0, \ldots, s_t\}$ Assume preferences are characterized by $\sum_{t=0}^T \beta^t \sum_{s^t} \pi_t (s^t) u (c_t (s^t))$ where $\pi (s^t)$ is the (obvious) probability of sequence s^t and u is some strictly concave function.

- a) Characterize the set of feasible allocations.
- b) Characterize the set of Pareto efficient allocations.
- c) Characterize the competitive equilibrium from these endowments.
- d) Suppose instead there are N agents each of whom at each date flips a fair coin and if heads, has an endowment of 2 bananas, and if tails has an endowment of zero bananas. Redo the previous parts to this question. What happens as $N \to \infty$?

Question 2 [HW4 4]

Consider a two period world, $t \in \{0, 1\}$, where each of I agents is endowed with 1 apple each period. In each period, an I length vector $\theta_t = \{\theta_{1,t}, \ldots, \theta_{I,t}\}$ is drawn where each $\theta_{i,t} \in \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\}$. Every possible θ_t is drawn with equal probability at each date.

- a) What is a history? What is an allocation? What is a feasible allocation?
- b) Suppose an agent *i*before date zero ranks allocations according to $\sum_t \sum_{s^t} \pi(s^t) \theta_{i,t} \ln(c_{i,t}(s^t))$. Find the competitive equilibrium assuming θ_t is observable at each date $t \in \{0, 1\}$.
- c) Discuss to what extent the equilibrium you derive depends on the observability of θ_t

Question 3 Additional

Consider a two period world, $t \in \{0, 1\}$, where each agent is endowed with 1 apple each period. In each period, an I length vector $\theta_t = \{\theta_{1,t}, \ldots, \theta_{I,t}\}$ is drawn where each $\theta_{i,t} \in \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\}$. Every possible θ_t is drawn with equal probability at each date.

- 1. after the realization of the date zero's θ_t , what is the natural (or timeconsistent) way each agent would rank allocations? Do again after the realization date one's θ_t
- 2. Given these ex-post preference orderings, what can you say about incentive compatibility if $\theta_{i,1}$ is private to agent *i*. In particular, what is the appropriate incentive constraint and what restrictions does this put on allocation?

3. Finally, what can you say about incentive compatibility if $\theta_{i,0}$ is also private to agent *i*. In particular, what is the appropriate incentive constraint and what restrictions does this put on allocation?